

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,811	06/24/2003	David V. Boland	2442.001	1082	
7:	590 07/25/2006	EXAMINER			
B. Craig Killo		MAYES, DIONNE WALLS			
Barnwell Whale	ey Patterson & Helms,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
P.O. Drawer H		ART UNIT	PAPÉR NUMBÉR		
Charleston, SC	29402	1731			
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		1	0/602,811	BOLAND, DAVID	V.			
		E	kaminer	Art Unit				
			onne Walls Mayes	1731				
The MAII Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commu	nication appear	s on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time rafter SIX (6) MONTI - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD IS LONGER, FROM THE IS nay be available under the provision HS from the mailing date of this come y is specified above, the maximum so in the set or extended period for replay the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nmunication. statutory period will ap ly will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNIC In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONTS the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this of the mailing date of the mailing				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsi	ve to communication(s) fil	led on 08 May	2006					
· ·	, ,	·						
Έ								
<i>,</i> —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	noo undor Ex p	ano quayro, 1000 o.b.	11, 400 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Clai								
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-10, , 13-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) _	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) <u>□</u> .Claim(s) _	are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Application Papers	;							
9) ☐ The specif	ication is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
	r declaration is objected	_	•	•				
Priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119							
	lgment is made of a claim ☑ Some * c)⊡ None of:	for foreign prid	ority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	v documents ha	eve been received.					
<u> </u>	•		•	· ——	l Stage			
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Reference	es Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview St	ımmary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftspe	rson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclor Paper No(s)/Mail [sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Date	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/602,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 8, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Krein (US. Pat. No. 5,059,084) or LaFleur (US. Pat. No. 5,794,670).

Krein or LaFleur discloses all that is recited in the claims (See entirety of each document and figs).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/602,811

Art Unit: 1731

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 3-10, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garland (US. Pat. No. 5,765,339) in view of LaFleur (US. Pat. No. 5,794,670).

Garland discloses a container that receives and stores soiled items, said container 14 having a bag received therein, and comprising a closure mechanism which would serve to apply pressure to the to separate an upper portion of said bag from a lower portion (see figs. 15-27). Garland may not specifically disclose an air eliminator that communicates with a space that is between the bag and the container. However, LaFleur does teach that when flexible bags are used to line rigid containers, and such liners need to be filled with materials, it is common for the liner to pull away from the container walls which can cause problems (see col. 1, lines 12-27). Therefore, LaFleur teaches that air may be removed in the space between the liner and the container, such that the liner remains in contact with the container and conforms to the interior container configuration (see col. 1, lines 47-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the container of Garland to include the air elimination system disclosed in LaFleur in order to reduce the likelihood of liner failure while used in the container as taught in LaFleur.

Regarding claim 3, it follows that the air eliminator of LaFleur, employed in Garland, would communicate with a space that is between the lower portion of the bag in the container since the goal is to ensure that the bag will not pull away from the container walls, and the part of the bag which is filled with material (i.e. the lower part) is obviously the portion of the bag that tends to do so.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,811 Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

Regarding claims 4-5, 7-9, 14-16, 18-19, it is apparent from the reading of the disclosure and drawings that these limitations have been met.

Regarding claims 6 and 17, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have fabricated the bag/liner of the combined references of a flame retardant material since this is a standard characteristic of materials in many arts because of fire-safety concerns.

Regarding claims 10 and 20, it follows that one having ordinary skill in the art would have structured the closing mechanisms so that they are "spring biased" since such types of closures are conventional in many arts.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 11-12 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 5/8/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In response to Applicant's contention that one skilled in the art, designing a container for discarded smoking materials, would not find motivation or a suggestion in the prior art to combine the LaFleur and Garland references to arrive at Applicant's invention as claimed. The Examiner disagrees. Applicant asserts that there is no suggestion in LaFleur to pull the bag against an interior portion of the container by means of an air eliminator to increase flame resistance or retard flammability or burning, but Applicant has not included such recitation in the claims at issue - it is just merely mentioned in the instant specification. Further, the Examiner finds no indication that

Art Unit: 1731

LaFleur fails to disclose eliminating air between the bag and the container. To the contrary, the LaFleur reference teaches exactly that (see col. 1,lines 58-59). Third, Applicant asserts that the Action fails to show how the cited references meet the requirements of the claims, but the Examiner believes that this is clear from the references, namely the figures.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Walls Mayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

Dionne Walls Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

July 20,2006